

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 SERIAL NUMBER
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED APPLICANT
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 . U8/401,229
 03/09/93
 1ANG
 W 50169/105/EN

E5M1/0709

FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON DC 20007-5109

LEE, J EX	AMINER
	ومستغرسين
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2501	M
ATE MAILED:	V07/09/96

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

T⊦	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
✓	is extended to run 4 mos. or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Αŗ	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap	pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed 06/17/96 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed oplace the application in condition for allowance:
V	31 4-36. The proposed amendments to to claim and /er-specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. 🗹 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. [ ] They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The proposed changes to claims 31+36 delete the bifurcated fiber optic cable" elements + thus changes the entire scope of the claims. The claims would have to be examined from an entirely different angle: this is certainly a new issue.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  (except for amendments to claims 31 + 36)
abla	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗹 will be entered 🗖 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed: 17-30 Claims objected to: 31-38
	However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 (2nd 97); 103
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
	e proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  her Submitted prior art has been considered (note attached copy of form PTO-1449). References  have no effect on naterability of claims.  GROUP ART UNIT 251